## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
	v. Lee Arthur Logan	Coop No. 4:40 or 00040 DLM				
	Defendant	Case No. 1:19-cr-00019-PLM				
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, referdant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require				
	Part I – Findings of	Fact				
(1)	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed – that is					
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), which the prison term is 10 years or more.	or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for				
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.					
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:					
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.					
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:					
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destruction a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon				
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the d or local offense.	efendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state				
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the				
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that person or the community. I further find that defendant has not					
	Alternative Findings (A)					
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has com-					
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	prescribed in:*				
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by	v finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions				
(2)	will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safe					
,	Alternative Finding	ıs (B)				
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.					
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safe					
1.	Part II – Statement of the Reas					
evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention  a preponderance of the evidence that:					
	dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest deter dant is subject to a hold/detainer and would not be released in					
3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.						

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	February 12, 2019	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	